NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MILITARY JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D.C.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

EUGENE R. FIDELL, PRESIDENT
HARDY VIEUX, SECRETARY-TREASURER
PROF. STEPHEN A. SALTZBURG, GENERAL COUNSEL
CAPT. KEVIN J. BARRY, DIRECTOR
CDR PHILIP D. CAVE, DIRECTOR
PROF. MARY M. CHEH, DIRECTOR
PROF. ELIZABETH L. HILLMAN, DIRECTOR
REAR ADMIRAL JOHN D. HUTSON, DIRECTOR

KATHLEEN A. DUIGNAN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Website: www.nimj.org

Address reply to:

Eugene R. Fidell Feldesman Tucker Leifer Fidell LLP 2001 L St., N.W., Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036 efidell@feldesmantucker.com Tel. (202) 466-8960 BOARD OF ADVISORS

PROF. DIANE MARIE AMANN BRIG. GEN. DAVID M. BRAHMS **GUY COURNOYER** MILES P. FISCHER PROF. ROBERT K. GOLDMAN PROF. FREDRIC I. LEDERER PROF. DIANE H. MAZUR RONALD W. MEISTER COL. ALEXANDER S. NICHOLAS COL ADELE H. ODEGARD PROF. DIANE F. ORENTLICHER STEPHEN J. SHAPIRO DAVID P. SHELDON PROF. EDWARD F. SHERMAN ARNON D. SIEGEL PROF. DONALD N. ZILLMAN

November 9, 2005

Honorable Arlen Specter United States Senate 711 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Specter:

On behalf of the National Institute of Military Justice (and as a retired Lieutenant Commander in the U.S. Coast Guard Reserve), I am writing to express NIMJ's strong opposition to Senator Graham's amendment to the Defense Authorization Bill, withdrawing federal court authority to grant writs of habeas corpus on the petition of non-citizens in military custody as enemy combatants.

The proposed amendment would sanction unreviewable Executive detention that cannot be harmonized with our Nation's longstanding adherence to the rule of law. Military detention without due process is antithetical to our fundamental values, values that our men and women in uniform put their lives on the line to protect.

The practical effect of the amendment would be to validate actions by nondemocratic countries around the world. Some of these countries may try to jail our Senator Arlen Specter November 9, 2005 Page 2

citizens (including but not limited to GIs) on trumped-up grounds and then deny them access to judicial forums in which they might at least try to gain their freedom or fairer treatment. We should not take a step we would be unwilling to see others apply to our fellow citizens. We disable ourselves from objecting to flagrant lawlessness elsewhere when we shut the doors to our courts, which are the jewel in the crown of our democracy.

I will only add that oftentimes when NIMJ considers taking a position on a matter of public policy our directors and advisors have a range of views. That is one of our strengths as an organization. On this one, we are emphatically of one mind.

Very respectfully,

Eugene R. Fidell

Eugen Ridey